

Online Research @ Cardiff

This is an Open Access document downloaded from ORCA, Cardiff University's institutional repository: <https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/96676/>

This is the author's version of a work that was submitted to / accepted for publication.

Citation for final published version:

Connolly, Siobhan, Anney, Richard ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6083-407X>, Gallagher, Louise and Heron, Elizabeth A. 2017. A genome-wide investigation into parent-of-origin effects in autism spectrum disorder identifies previously associated genes including SHANK3. European Journal of Human Genetics 25 (2) , pp. 234-239. 10.1038/ejhg.2016.153 file

Publishers page: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2016.153>
<<http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ejhg.2016.153>>

Please note:

Changes made as a result of publishing processes such as copy-editing, formatting and page numbers may not be reflected in this version. For the definitive version of this publication, please refer to the published source. You are advised to consult the publisher's version if you wish to cite this paper.

This version is being made available in accordance with publisher policies.

See

<http://orca.cf.ac.uk/policies.html> for usage policies. Copyright and moral rights for publications made available in ORCA are retained by the copyright holders.



A Genome-Wide Investigation into Parent-of-Origin Effects in Autism Spectrum Disorder Identifies Previously Associated Genes including *SHANK3*.

Siobhan Connolly^{a1} Richard Anney^{ab} Louise Gallagher^a Elizabeth A. Heron^a

a : Neuropsychiatric Genetics Research Group,

Dept. of Psychiatry, Trinity College Dublin,

Trinity Centre for Health Sciences, James's St.,

Dublin 8, Ireland

b : Institute of Psychological Medicine and Clinical Neurosciences,

Cardiff University School of Medicine,

Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cathays,

Cardiff CF24 4HQ, United Kingdom

¹Corresponding author. E-mail: connolly.siobhan@gmail.com
Tel: +353(1)8963272

Abstract

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is known to be a heritable neurodevelopmental disorder affecting more than 1% of the population but in the majority of ASD cases, the genetic cause has not been identified. Parent-of-origin effects have been highlighted as an important mechanism in the pathology of neurodevelopmental disorders such as Prader-Willi and Angelman Syndrome, with individuals with these syndromes often exhibiting ASD symptoms. Consequently, systematic investigation of these effects in ASD is clearly an important line of investigation in elucidating the underlying genetic mechanisms. Using EMIM, a multinomial modelling approach, we simultaneously investigated imprinting, maternal genetic effects and associations in the Autism Genome Project (AGP) and Simons Simplex Consortium (SSC) genome-wide association datasets. To avoid using the overly stringent genome-wide association study (GWAS) significance level, we used a Bayesian threshold that takes into account the sample size, allele frequency and any available prior knowledge. Between the two datasets, we identified a total of 18 imprinting effects and 68 maternal genetic effects that met this Bayesian threshold criteria, but none met the threshold in both datasets. We identified imprinting and maternal genetic effects for regions that have previously shown evidence for parent-of-origin effects in ASD. Together with these findings, we have identified maternal genetic effects not previously identified in ASD at a locus in SHANK3 on chromosome 22 and a locus in WSCR17 on chromosome 7 (associated with Williams Syndrome). Both genes have previously been associated with ASD.

Key words: Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD), parent-of-origin effects, Bayesian threshold.

1 Introduction

Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) is a complex and heterogeneous neurodevelopmental disorder characterised by patterns of repetitive behaviours and deficits in language and social behaviour. ASD is known to be heritable, with earlier heritability estimates ranging from 0.85 - 0.92 [1] to a more current heritability estimate of 0.524 [2], with most of this believed to be due to common rather than rare variation [2]. Gaugler et al. [2] also estimated that 41% of the risk for ASD is due to environmental factors which include prenatal, perinatal and postnatal environmental factors. Several GWAS studies have been performed on common variants in ASD using family studies, but the most significant results from these studies show modest effect size [3–5] and therefore, have low power to replicate [4]. Despite growing evidence from investigations of rare and de novo structural and sequence variation in ASD [6], the aetiology of the majority of ASD cases remains unexplained, suggesting that there are complex genetic mechanisms underlying the disorder. There is also evidence to suggest that there is a complex relationship between these underlying genetic factors and environmental factors [7].

Broadly speaking, parent-of-origin effects consist of genetic effects on the phenotype of an offspring that are dependent on the parental origin of the associated genetic variant(s). Parent-of-origin effects can occur through numerous mechanisms such as genomic imprinting and certain trans-generational effects (for example, maternal genetic effects) [8]. Genomic imprinting occurs when the allele from a particular parent is silenced and the gene is expressed only by the remaining allele that has been inherited from the other parent. Evidence for imprinting has been shown in Prader-Willi syndrome and Angelman syndrome [9,10], both syndromes having autistic

features and diagnoses [11]. There has been strong suggestive evidence for imprinting in ASD in the 7q and 15q regions which warrant further investigation [12].

When statistically investigating GWAS data for imprinted genes, we examine the transmission of alleles from the parent to the offspring. If there is an over-transmission of the variant allele by a particular parent, then this might suggest that there is a difference in the expression of the alleles through epigenetic mechanisms (heritable changes that do not cause changes in the DNA sequence). For example, if the variant allele is over-transmitted from mothers only (i.e. maternal over-transmission), this might suggest evidence for nonexpression of the paternally derived allele.

In addition to imprinting, maternal genetic effects can also occur when the maternal genotype exerts an influence on the offspring's phenotype regardless of what genetic material has been passed from the mother to the offspring. One way in which this can occur is when the mother's genotype affects the development of the foetus through the intrauterine environment. There has been evidence of maternal genetic effects in ASD, for example, at the GSTP*A gene [13] and the HLA-DR4 gene [14]. There has also been some evidence of possible prenatal environmental factors influencing the risk of ASD. For example, ASD is more likely in half siblings with a shared mother (recurrence rate estimates: 5.2% and 7.3%) compared to half siblings with a shared father (estimates: 0% and 3.2%, although sample sizes were small for paternal half siblings) [15]. However, more recent estimates based on a larger cohort from Sweden have not shown as large a difference [16] (recurrence rates: 3.3% for half siblings with shared mother; 2.9% for half siblings with shared father).

The study of parent-of-origin effects has the potential to identify genetic and environmental factors that may be contributing to a complex disorder such as ASD [17].

Therefore, in order to help elucidate the genetic and epigenetic aetiology of ASD, we investigated two types of parent-of-origin effects, imprinting and maternal genetic effects, in ASD GWAS. Previous studies have investigated parent-of-origin effects in ASD [3,4,18,19]. Anney et al. [3,4] investigated imprinting in a secondary analysis in the Autism Genome Project (AGP) GWAS datasets using an in-house method reported to be similar to the method of Cordell et al. [20], but findings were not considered to be statistically significant after correcting for multiple testing. Chaste et al. [5] also considered whether the transmission came from the mother or the father in their GWAS analysis of the Simons Simplex Collection (SSC) data although no parental-specific results are reported. Tsang et al. [18] and Yuan and Dougherty [19] used GWAS data to investigate maternal genetic effects in ASD using a case-control type analysis. There were no genome-wide significant findings or replicated findings in either of these studies [18,19].

Our analysis approach differs in that we investigated both imprinting and maternal genetic effects simultaneously as maternal genetic effects are known to mimic imprinting and vice versa [21,22]. We also included offspring genetic effects (associations) in our model to enable us to identify an imprinting effect associated with ASD. These parent-of-origin analyses were investigated using Estimation of Maternal, Imprinting and interaction effects using Multinomial modelling (EMIM) [23,24], which in comparison to other tests has been shown to be the most suitable in terms of power and type I errors for this type of data [22]. We also adapted a Bayesian method [25] to determine an appropriate noteworthy threshold at each locus (taking into account sample size, MAF and prior knowledge) instead of using the genome-wide significance levels, which are known to be stringent [26].

2 Methods and Materials

2.1 Data

The AGP GWAS family trio dataset is described elsewhere, [3] and [4], and here we are using the Stage 2 dataset consisting of 2 931 families. This dataset contains approximately one million SNPs genotyped on either the Illumina Infinium 1M-single or the Illumina 1M-duo microarray, see Acknowledgments for information on how to obtain the data.

The SSC GWAS consists of data on 2 591 simplex families that were genotyped for a million or more SNPs on one of three array versions - Illumina 1Mv1 (333 families), Illumina 1Mv3 Duo (1 189 families), or Illumina HumanOmni2.5M (1 069 families). Therefore, since imputation is computationally intensive for trio datasets and we needed the parental genotype data, we combined the three datasets and investigated SNPs common to all three arrays, as was carried out in [5]. See [5,27,28] for further details on the SSC data.

The AGP GWAS data includes families grouped into two nested diagnostic categories, Strict ASD (autism diagnoses met on both ADI and ADOS instruments) and Spectrum ASD (autism-spectrum diagnoses met on either the ADI or ADOS instruments), as used in [3]. Although not considered in the analyses of the SSC data [5], we applied the same ASD phenotype criteria as was used in the AGP [3] to define Strict and Spectrum ASD phenotypes within the SSC data. We focus our main analyses on the Spectrum phenotype which provides the larger sample sizes for the analyses (secondary analyses on the Strict phenotype are provided in the Supplementary Information (SI)).

2.2 Statistical Model

Following an extensive review of the parent-of-origin methodology, described elsewhere [22], we used the EMIM [23,24] approach for our analyses. EMIM is a multinomial model that directly maximises the multinomial likelihood to detect parent-of-origin effects and can incorporate missing data (SI for further details). We simultaneously investigated offspring genetic effects (associations), maternal genetic effects and imprinting effects using EMIM. We did not include mother/offspring interactions in our model as the inclusion of mother/offspring interaction parameters reduces the power of the model substantially, as is to be expected [22]. Instead mother/offspring interaction effects were investigated subsequent to a SNP being identified as having an offspring effect and a maternal genetic effect. A multiplicative model was assumed for offspring and maternal genotype parameters. The benefit of this is two-fold; firstly for ease of investigating the effects in an already complex model and secondly, to reduce the number of parameters in the model to help increase power, see SI for more details. EMIM has also been extended to use haplotype estimates to help increase power for detecting imprinting but this method was not used in this paper [29].

2.3 Quality Control Procedures

The Quality Control (QC) follows a standard approach to trio GWAS QC, with individuals and SNPs removed when missingness > 0.05 , Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) < 0.05 and Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) $p\text{-value} < 0.00001$. We limited our analyses to complete independent trios (both parents and offspring) to prevent the reduction in power that estimating missing data in our model would cause. Full details of the QC procedures are given in the SI. After QC, the AGP with a Spectrum phenotype contains 2 594 trios and 728 228 SNPs, and the SSC with a Spectrum

phenotype contains 2 433 trios and 483 080 SNPs.

2.4 Bayesian Noteworthy Threshold

Power to detect parent-of-origin effects can be limited [22] and the current genome-wide significance threshold guidelines are known to be very stringent [25,26,30]. These genome-wide significance levels are suggested for all sample sizes (and MAFs) and hence do not take into account the power at individual SNPs [25,31]. In order to take these factors into account, we adopted a Bayesian method proposed by Wakefield [25] to determine an appropriate threshold for identifying noteworthy findings.

This threshold for Z^2 -score is given by the following:

$$Z^2 > z_B^2 = 2 \left(\frac{V_n + W}{W} \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left(\frac{V_n + W}{V_n} \right) + \log \left(\frac{PO}{R} \right) \right\},$$

where V_n is the standard error of the parameter (which is dependent on the sample size, n , and MAF), W is the prior variance for the log of the relative risk Δ (i.e. $\Delta \sim N(0, W)$), PO is the Prior Odds (i.e. $\pi_0/(1 - \pi_0)$ where π_0 is the prior probability that H_0 is true), and R is the ratio of costs of type II to type I errors. See SI and [25,31] for more information.

We note that when we are investigating imprinting, it is necessary to have an offspring genotype effect present in addition to an imprinting effect. This is required to ensure that we do not identify non-disease related imprinted regions that would be observed in the general population. For maternal genetic effects, an association is not required and we investigate loci where the mother's genotype exerts an influence on the offspring's phenotype, regardless of association being present or not. Therefore, we calculated separate Z^2 -score thresholds for the Wald Z -score for the association parameter (R_I) and for the maternal genetic effect parameter (S_I). We detect a

noteworthy imprinting result when both the association parameter and the imprinting parameter meet the threshold (see Figure S2 in SI), whereas we detect a noteworthy maternal genetic effect when the maternal genetic effect parameter meets the threshold (see Figure S3 in SI).

We chose the prior variance for the log of the relative risk to be $W = [\log(2)/1.645]^2 = 0.42^2$ (interpret this as a 5% chance that the relative risk will be larger than 2) to reflect the low effect sizes in GWAS [32]. Due to the evidence that several hundred to thousands of loci are likely to contribute to the complex genetic heterogeneity of ASD [33,34], we choose $\pi_0 = 1 - 500/1\,000\,000 = 0.9995$, which leads to a prior odds of H_0 being true of $PO = 1\,999$. Since the power is limited to detect parent-of-origin effects in ASD, and this in turn increases type II errors, we chose the ratio of cost of type II errors to type I errors, R , equal to 10, as false negatives cannot be followed up. For more details on the choice of these parameters and the sensitivity of the Bayesian threshold to these choices see SI.

3 Results

3.1 Autism Genome Project (AGP)

There were nine noteworthy independent loci showing imprinting effects and there were forty independent loci showing maternal genetic effects, see Figure S5 and Tables S4 and S5 in the SI for all variants that were above the threshold for offspring genetic effect (R_I) and imprinting (I_M) or were above the threshold for maternal genetic effects (S_I). Table 1 gives a summary of the results discussed below. Note: $I_M > 1$ indicates a maternal over-transmission of the allele and $I_M < 1$ indicates a paternal over-transmission of the allele, whereas $I_M = 1$ indicates no imprinting effect.

3.1.1 Autism Genome Project Imprinting Results

The top imprinting result was a maternal over-transmission on chromosome 4, between *LOC391642* and *LOC645641* (rs675680, hg18 chr11:g.28082183A>G, allele = G, $I_M = 2.36$, Wald p-value = 3.02×10^{-6}), which has not been previously associated with ASD. We also found a noteworthy paternal over-transmission in SNPs in the *STPG2* gene (*C4orf37* gene) on chromosome 4 (top SNP, rs10025482, hg18 chr4:g.99272299C>T, allele = T, $I_M = 0.59$, Wald p-value = 6.21×10^{-6} , see Figure S7 in the SI) and this region was previously implicated in ASD in [18], where a mother/offspring interaction effect was identified in the vicinity of the *STPG2* gene (rs28539905 – not genotyped, $R^2 = 0.005$). We found no evidence of an interaction effect at rs10025482 (LRT p-value = 0.33) or in this region.

3.1.2 Autism Genome Project Maternal Genetic Results

The top result for a maternal genetic effect was on chromosome 5 between the genes *LOC391845* and *LOC574080* (rs4516878, hg18 chr5:g.164271894T>C, allele = C, $S_I = 1.40$, Wald p-value = 1.16×10^{-5}). This region has not been previously linked with ASD, to our knowledge. In our top results, we also identified two maternal genetic effects that were previously implicated as maternal genetic effects or mother/offspring interactions in the Early Markers for Autism dataset [18]. The first hit is located on the *MAML2* gene on chromosome 11, which was identified as a maternal genetic effect in our analyses and in the same region in Tsang et al.'s [18] but in opposite directions (rs545208, hg18 chr11:g.95619756C>T, allele = T, $S_I = 0.74$, Wald p-value = 3×10^{-5} , see Figure S8 in the SI). We also identified a maternal genetic effect (rs9870610, hg18 chr3:g.95619758C>T, allele = T, $S_I = 1.33$, Wald p-value = 7.24×10^{-5} , see Figure S9 in

the SI) on *ROBO2* on chromosome 3. An interaction effect was previously identified in the gene *ROBO2* in Tsang et al. [18], but we found no evidence of an interaction (LRT p-value = 0.34) at rs545208.

3.2 Simons Simplex Collection (SSC)

There were nine noteworthy imprinting results and there were twenty-eight independent noteworthy loci with a maternal genetic effect in the SSC data, see Figure S16 and Tables S8 and S9 in the SI and Table 1.

3.2.1 Simons Simplex Collection Imprinting Results

The top imprinting result was a paternal over-transmission on chromosome 13 in the *TBC1D4* gene (rs9573533, hg18 chr13:g.74853485G>A, allele = A, $I_M = 0.59$, Wald p-value = 8.17×10^{-6}). To our knowledge, this area has not been previously linked with ASD. We identified a maternal over-transmission in the *LRRC16A* gene (near the *HLA* region) (rs16890706, hg18 chr6:g.25628073G>A, allele = A, $I_M = 1.86$, Wald p-value = 1.09×10^{-5}), which was previously implicated in language deficits [35].

3.2.2 Simons Simplex Collection Maternal Genetic Results

The strongest association for a maternal genetic effect was on chromosome 7 in the *CHRM2* gene (rs6967953, hg18 chr7:g.136353916G>A, allele = A, $S_I = 1.38$, Wald p-value = 6.01×10^{-6}). This area has been previously linked with IQ and one of the strongest linkage signals reported for ASD occurred at 7q within 1.6kb of the *CHRM2* gene [36]. One of our top hits for maternal genetic effects was identified on chromosome 22 in the *SHANK3* gene (rs5770820, hg18 chr22:g.49497339G>A, allele = A, $S_I = 1.25$, Wald p-value = 5.54×10^{-5} , see Figure 1). Disruptions in the *SHANK3* gene have been associated with autistic traits and in particular, these disruptions are responsible for the development of Phelan-McDermid syndrome and other non-

syndromic ASDs [37]. Figure 1 shows that there are no SNPs in high LD with rs5770820 (the SNP in highest LD was rs739365, $R^2 = 0.65$) due to the limited number of SNPs common to all three arrays in the SSC dataset.

We also detected a noteworthy maternal genetic effect on chromosome 7q11.23 in the *WBSCR17* gene (rs4719103, hg18 chr7:g.70395849G>A, allele = A, $S_I = 1.41$, Wald p-value = 5.48×10^{-5} , see Figure S18 in SI), this region is deleted in Williams syndrome [38] and it is known that individuals with Williams syndrome exhibit autistic behaviours [39,40]. This region was strongly associated with ASD in a Copy Number Variant (CNV) study carried out on the SSC dataset [28], and we acknowledge that there is a large overlap between the samples analysed here and those in the CNV study.

Another noteworthy result was found on chromosome 22q, which is a protective maternal genetic effect in the *GNBIL* gene (also known as *C22orf29* gene) (rs11075447, hg18 chr16:g.60560457A>G, allele = G, $S_I = 0.76$, Wald p-value = 9.52×10^{-5}), this gene has been linked to ASD and schizophrenia [41].

INSERT FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE

INSERT TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE

4 Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first genome-wide study to test for both imprinting and maternal genetic effects simultaneously in ASD. This is also the first study to implement the Bayesian thresholds that take into consideration the sample size and MAF at each SNP, and prior knowledge of effect size and prior odds of finding associations. We analysed the AGP and SSC ASD datasets for parent-of-origin effects, specifically imprinting and maternal genetic effects. Previous studies of parent-of-origin effects in ASD only investigated either imprinting effects or maternal genetic effects

[3,4,18,19] despite the fact that these effects are known to mimic each other. We identified a total of 18 imprinting effects and 68 maternal genetic effects that met this Bayesian threshold criteria in either the AGP or SSC datasets with a Spectrum phenotype. None of these results were identified in both datasets. The SI contains further analyses of parent-of-origin effects in the AGP and SSC datasets for a Strict ASD phenotype, where we identified 10 imprinting effects and 72 maternal genetic effects that met the Bayesian threshold criteria in either datasets. A proportion (10-20%) of the results identified using a Strict ASD phenotype overlap with the results identified using the Spectrum ASD phenotype (see SI for further details).

This model is complex as it includes three parameters, offspring genetic effects, imprinting effects and maternal genetic effects, which can reduce power and can lead to the results being harder to interpret. In order to help identify noteworthy findings, we adopted a Bayesian threshold proposed by Wakefield [25] to investigate parent-of-origin effects as it facilitates ease of interpretation of an imprinting effect and a maternal genetic effect. Additionally, the Bayesian threshold avoids the use of the overly stringent genome-wide significance threshold [25,26]. The Bayesian threshold takes into account the sample size and MAF as well as other prior knowledge regarding ASD (for example, effect size) to allow for a more appropriate threshold for the model at each locus. The Bayesian threshold does not depend on the number of tests performed but instead depends on the prior odds. If a Bonferroni correction was employed, or the stringent GWAS threshold, then the noteworthy hits we identified would have been missed, but as we have shown, some of these hits show promise by being previously identified in ASD studies (see Table 1). In addition, we have accounted for the rate of true positives and true negatives in the prior odds in the Bayesian threshold, which is a

superior method in comparison to using the Bonferroni correction or the GWAS thresholds, which do not account for these.

Replicating results identified in a discovery analysis in an independent sample is the gold standard in GWAS analysis as it provides convincing statistical evidence for association, and has the potential to rule out associations due to biases [42]. Replication generally involves identifying the significant results in the discovery analysis and examining these in a replication dataset that is as close to the ascertainment and design of the original GWAS as possible [43]. It is important for a replication dataset to be independent of the primary dataset, have large enough sample sizes and have the same ascertainment and study design as the discovery GWAS [42,43].

The AGP and SSC datasets did not have the same ascertainment criteria and these differences have led to key differences in the AGP and SSC datasets. The AGP contains both simplex and multiplex families (approximately 38% of families are simplex [44]) with the aim being to investigate common variation whereas the SSC data contains only simplex families, a design which inherently enriches for rare and de novo mutations [28,33]. In addition, the SSC dataset excluded families with parents who met criteria for a spectrum diagnosis based on two instruments, thus further limiting the potential to discover heritable, penetrant genetic risk. This exclusion criterion did not apply in the AGP dataset and a small proportion of parents included in the dataset who had been screened using these instruments (which is only a small proportion of the sample) meet this criteria [45,46].

From a phenotypic perspective, when compared to multiplex families, simplex family members share less ASD traits [47,48]. Klei et al. [43] have shown that a lower proportion (less than 40%) of the heritability of additive effects in ASD is explained in

the SSC dataset compared to the AGP (55-59%, 65% for AGP multiplex probands) and that family members in the AGP have elevated heritability estimates, which were not seen in the SSC. There has been evidence to suggest that genetic transmission mechanisms differ between multiplex families and simplex families [7,44,48]. Even though both the AGP and SSC datasets are ASD datasets, and even though we would expect some shared common risk between the two in terms of associations, we do not believe this would be the case for parent-of-origin effects as the transmission mechanisms are the main focus. For these reasons, we felt it was not appropriate to treat either the AGP or SSC as a replication dataset of the other. Table 1 and Tables S4 – S11 in the SI show that the effects are often in different directions when comparing the AGP and SSC results to each other, possibly strengthening the theory that this difference in ascertainment leads to a different genetic aetiology for multiplex and simplex ASD families.

Therefore, we have not replicated any of the findings we identified as we did not have an appropriate independent replication dataset available. However, we did identify some potential parent-of-origin effects in ASD in regions that have been previously implicated in ASD. For example, one of the imprinting results (in the *STPG2* gene) and two of the maternal genetic effects (in the *MAML2* gene and the *ROBO2* gene) were previously implicated in an ASD study for maternal genetic effects by Tsang et al. [18]. We also identified a maternal genetic effect at rs5770820 in the *SHANK3* gene. *SHANK3* (*ProSAP2*) regulates the structural organization of dendritic spines and is a binding partner of neuroligins. Mutations in *SHANK3* are well known risk factors for ASD [49]. Lebold et al. [50] estimated that 0.69% of cases with ASD had heterozygous truncating mutations in *SHANK3*. Sanders et al. [6] has identified *SHANK3* as one of the

71 risk loci in ASD, although we note that Sanders et al. [6] also used the SSC dataset. We identified another maternal genetic effect on chromosome 7q11 in the *WBSCR17* gene, which is a deleted region in Williams syndrome. Williams syndrome has strong links with ASD as individuals with Williams syndrome often exhibit autistic traits [38]. Our findings suggest that mutations in a mother's *SHANK3* gene or the *WBSCR17* gene could increase the likelihood of the offspring having ASD. Although our findings are very promising, further investigation is necessary.

In conclusion, we set out to detect parent-of-origin effects in ASD using the AGP and the SSC GWAS datasets. We identified many regions with potential parent-of-origin effects. This study has also shown an approach to investigating both imprinting effects and maternal genetic effects in ASD family GWAS datasets using appropriate Bayesian thresholds that take into account the power of the test at each SNP. This approach can be used in future studies of ASD when there are larger and more appropriate replication datasets available in order to produce robust findings. This approach is not limited to ASD but is suitable for the examination of parent-of-origin effects in other phenotypes that have GWAS datasets with parental genotypes available.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to all of the families for participating in the AGP, as well as the principal investigators, AGRE and the AGP funders: Autism Speaks (USA), the Medical Research Council (UK), the Health Research Board (Ireland), the National Institutes of Health (USA), Genome Canada, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Hilibrand Foundation. The AGP datasets can be obtained from dbGaP at <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/gap>. The authors are grateful to all of the families at the participating SSC sites, as well as the principal investigators (A. Beaudet, R. Bernier, J.

Constantino, E. Cook, E. Fombonne, D. Geschwind, R. Goin-Kochel, E. Hanson, D. Grice, A. Klin, D. Ledbetter, C. Lord, C. Martin, D. Martin, R. Maxim, J. Miles, O. Ousley, K. Pelphrey, B. Peterson, J. Piggot, C. Saulnier, M. State, W. Stone, J. Sutcliffe, C. Walsh, Z. Warren, E. Wijsman), and appreciate obtaining access to phenotypic data on SFARI Base. Approved researchers can obtain the SSC population data set described in this study by applying at <https://base.sfari.org>. In particular, the authors would like to acknowledge S. Sanders for his help with the SSC data. The authors acknowledge the support of the Trinity Centre for High Performance Computing. S.C. acknowledges the funding received from a Trinity College Dublin Studentship Award.

Summary data results are available at <http://www.gwascentral.org/study/HGVST1842>.

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no potential conflicts of interest.

Reference

- [1] Hallmayer J, Cleveland S, Torres A, et al. Genetic heritability and shared environmental factors among twin pairs with autism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*, 2011. 68(11): 1095–102.
- [2] Gaugler T, Klei L, Sanders SJ, et al. Most genetic risk for autism resides with common variation. *Nat. Genet*, 2014. 46(8): 881–885.
- [3] Anney R, Klei L, Pinto D, et al. A genome-wide scan for common alleles affecting risk for autism. *Hum Mol Genet*, 2010. 19: 4072–4082.
- [4] Anney R, Klei L, Pinto D, et al. Individual common variants exert weak effects on the risk for autism spectrum disorderspi. *Hum Mol Genet*, 2012. 21(21): 4781–92.
- [5] Chaste P, Klei L, Sanders SJ, et al. A genomewide association study of autism using the Simons Simplex Collection: Does reducing phenotypic heterogeneity in autism increase

- genetic homogeneity? *Biol Psychiatry*, 2014.
- [6] Sanders S, He X, Willsey JA, et al. Insights into Autism Spectrum Disorder Genomic Architecture and Biology from 71 Risk Loci. *Neuron*, 2015. 87(6): 1215–1233.
- [7] Chaste P, Leboyer M. Autism risk factors: genes, environment, and gene-environment interactions. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*, 2012. 14(3): 281–92.
- [8] Guilmatre A, Sharp A. Parent of origin effects. *Clin Genet*, 2012. 81: 201–209.
- [9] Falls J, Pulford D, Wylie A, et al. Genomic imprinting: implications for human disease. *Am J Pathol*, 1999. 154(3): 635–647.
- [10] Gurrier F, Accadia M. Genetic imprinting: The paradigm of prader-willi and angelman syndromes. *Endocr Dev*, 2009. 14: 20–28.
- [11] Veltman MWM, Craig EE, Bolton PF. Autism spectrum disorders in Prader-Willi and Angelman syndromes: a systematic review. *Psychiatr Genet*, 2005. 15(4): 243–54.
- [12] Schanen NC. Epigenetics of autism spectrum disorders. *Human molecular genetics*, 2006. 15 Spec No(suppl_2): R138–50.
- [13] Williams TA, Mars AE, Buyske SG, et al. Risk of autistic disorder in affected offspring of mothers with a glutathione S-transferase P1 haplotype. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 2007. 161(4): 356–61.
- [14] Johnson WG, Buyske S, Mars AE, et al. HLA-DR4 as a risk allele for autism acting in mothers of probands possibly during pregnancy. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*, 2009. 163(6): 542–6.
- [15] Constantino JN, Todorov a, Hilton C, et al. Autism recurrence in half siblings: strong support for genetic mechanisms of transmission in ASD. *Mol Psychiatry*, 2013. 18(2): 137–8.
- [16] Sandin S, Lichtenstein P, Kuja-Halkola R, Larsson H, Hultman CM, Reichenberg A. The familial risk of autism. *JAMA*, 2014. 311(17): 1770–7.
- [17] Lawson Ha, Cheverud JM, Wolf JB. Genomic imprinting and parent-of-origin effects on complex traits. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2013. 14(9): 609–17.
- [18] Tsang KM, Croen LA, Torres AR, et al. A genome-wide survey of transgenerational

- genetic effects in autism. *PloS one*, 2013. 8(10): e76978.
- [19] Yuan H, Dougherty JD. Investigation of maternal genotype effects in autism by genome-wide association. *Autism Res*, 2014. 7(2): 245–53.
- [20] Cordell H, Barratt B, Clayton D. Case/pseudocontrol analysis in genetic association studies: a unified framework for detection of genotype and haplotype associations, gene-gene and gene-environment interactions, and parent-of-origin effects. *Genet Epidemiol*, 2004. 26: 167–85.
- [21] Wolf J, Wade M. What are maternal effects (and what are they not)? *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*, 2009. 10(1098): 1107–1115.
- [22] Connolly S, Heron EA. Review of statistical methodologies for the detection of parent-of-origin effects in family trio genome-wide association data with binary disease traits. *Brief Bioinform*, 2014: bbu017.
- [23] Ainsworth H, Unwin J, Jamison D, et al. Investigation of Maternal Effects, Maternal-Fetal Interactions and Parent-of-Origin Effects (Imprinting), Using Mothers and Their Offspring. *Genet Epidemiol*, 2011. 35(1): 19–45.
- [24] Howey R, Cordell H. PREMIM and EMIM: tools for estimation of maternal, imprinting and interaction effects using multinomial modelling. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 2012. 13.
- [25] Wakefield J. Commentary: Genome-wide significance thresholds via Bayes factors. *Int J Epidemiol*, 2012. 41(1): 286–91.
- [26] Ioannidis JPA, Thomas G, Daly MJ. Validating, augmenting and refining genome-wide association signals. *Nat Rev Genet*, 2009. 10(5): 318–29.
- [27] Fischbach GD, Lord C. The Simons Simplex Collection: a resource for identification of autism genetic risk factors. *Neuron*, 2010. 68(2): 192–5.
- [28] Sanders SJ, Ercan-Sencicek GA, Hus V, et al. Multiple Recurrent De Novo CNVs, Including Duplications of the 7q11.23 Williams Syndrome Region, Are Strongly Associated with Autism. *Neuron*, 2011. 70(5): 863–885.
- [29] Howey R, Mamasoula C, Töpf A, et al. Increased Power for Detection of Parent-of-

- Origin Effects via the Use of Haplotype Estimation. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 2015: 419–434.
- [30] Johansen CT, Wang J, McIntyre AD, et al. Excess of rare variants in non-genome-wide association study candidate genes in patients with hypertriglyceridemia. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet*, 2012. 5(1): 66–72.
- [31] Wakefield J, Skrivankova V, Hsu FC, Sale M, Heagerty P. Detecting signals in pharmacogenomic genome-wide association studies. *Pharmacogenomics J*, 2014. 14(4): 309–15.
- [32] Smith J, Newton-Cheh C. *Genome-wide association study in humans*. Methods Mol Biol, Totowa, NJ, US, 2009. 573.
- [33] Sanders SJ, Murtha MT, Gupta AR, et al. De novo mutations revealed by whole-exome sequencing are strongly associated with autism. *Nature*, 2012. 485(7397): 237–41.
- [34] Iossifov I, Ronemus M, Levy D, et al. De novo gene disruptions in children on the autistic spectrum. *Neuron*, 2012. 74(2): 285–99.
- [35] Nudel R, Simpson NH, Baird G, et al. Genome-wide association analyses of child genotype effects and parent-of-origin effects in specific language impairment. *Genes Brain Behav*, 2014. 13(4): 418–429.
- [36] Gosso MF, van Belzen M, de Geus EJC, et al. Association between the CHRM2 gene and intelligence in a sample of 304 Dutch families. *Genes Brain Behav*, 2006. 5(8): 577–84.
- [37] Peça Ja, Feliciano C, Ting JT, et al. Shank3 mutant mice display autistic-like behaviours and striatal dysfunction. *Nature*, 2011. 472(7344): 437–42.
- [38] Francke U. Williams-Beuren syndrome: genes and mechanisms. *Hum Mol Genet*, 1999. 8(10): 1947–1954.
- [39] Klein-Tasman BP, Phillips KD, Lord C, Mervis CB, Gallo FJ. Overlap with the autism spectrum in young children with Williams syndrome. *J Dev Behav Pediatr*, 2009. 30(4): 289–99.
- [40] Tordjman S, Anderson GM, Botbol M, et al. Autistic disorder in patients with Williams-

- Beuren syndrome: a reconsideration of the Williams-Beuren syndrome phenotype. *PLoS one*, 2012. 7(3): e30778.
- [41] Chen YZ, Matsushita M, Girirajan S, et al. Evidence for involvement of GNB1L in autism. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*, 2012. 159B(1): 61–71.
- [42] Kraft P, Zeggini E, Ioannidis JP. Replication in genome-wide association studies. *Stat Sci*, 2010. 24(4): 561.
- [43] Bush WS, Moore JH. Chapter 11: Genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 2012. 8(12): e1002822.
- [44] Klei L, Sanders SJ, Murtha MT, et al. Common genetic variants, acting additively, are a major source of risk for autism. *Mol Autism*, 2012. 3(1): 9.
- [45] Neale BM, Kou Y, Liu L, et al. Patterns and rates of exonic de novo mutations in autism spectrum disorders. *Nature*, 2012. 485(7397): 242–245.
- [46] Pinto D, Pagnamenta AT, Klei L, et al. Functional impact of global rare copy number variation in autism spectrum disorders. *Nature*, 2010. 466(7304): 368–72.
- [47] Gerdts JA, Bernier R, Dawson G, Estes A. The broader autism phenotype in simplex and multiplex families. *J Autism Dev Disord*, 2013. 43(7): 1597–605.
- [48] Bernier R, Gerdts J, Munson J, Dawson G, Estes A. Evidence for broader autism phenotype characteristics in parents from multiple-incidence autism families. *Autism Res*, 2012. 5(1): 13–20.
- [49] Durand CM, Betancur C, Boeckers TM, et al. Mutations in the gene encoding the synaptic scaffolding protein SHANK3 are associated with autism spectrum disorders. *Nat Genet*, 2007. 39(1): 25–7.
- [50] Leblond CS, Nava C, Polge A, et al. Meta-analysis of SHANK Mutations in Autism Spectrum Disorders: a gradient of severity in cognitive impairments. *PLoS Genet*, 2014. 10(9): e1004580.




Figure 1: SSC Spectrum Chromosome 22, *SHANK3* gene, rs5770820 Maternal Genetic Effect. Regional plot of SNPs highlighted in the SSC Spectrum analysis for maternal genetic effects (S_1 , triangles). Markers in linkage disequilibrium with the index SNP are shown and based on 1000 genomes CEU. Recombination rate plotted in black. The black dotted line represents the Bayesian threshold for S_1 .

Table 1: Main Results from the AGP and SSC dataset

AGP Results					SSC Results	
SNP	Effect	P-Value	Location	Previous Findings	Effect	P-Value
rs675680	$I_M = 2.36$	3.0×10^{-6}	Chr 4p15	None Identified	$I_M = 0.81$	2.4×10^{-1}
rs10025482	$I_M = 0.59$	6.2×10^{-6}	Chr 4, <i>C4orf37</i> gene	[18]	$I_M = 1.19$	1.5×10^{-1}
rs4516878	$S_I = 1.40$	1.2×10^{-5}	Chr 5q34	None Identified	$S_I = 1.07$	3.7×10^{-1}
rs545208	$S_I = 0.74$	3.0×10^{-5}	Chr 11, <i>MAML2</i> gene	[18]	$S_I = 1.02$	8.1×10^{-1}
rs9870610	$S_I = 1.33$	7.2×10^{-5}	Chr 3, <i>ROBO2</i> gene	[18]	$S_I = 1.16$	5.0×10^{-2}
SSC Results					AGP Results	
SNP	Effect	P-Value	Location	Previous Findings	Effect	P-Value
rs9573533	$I_M = 0.59$	8.2×10^{-6}	Chr 13, <i>TBC1D4</i> gene	None Identified	$I_M = 0.98$	8.3×10^{-1}
rs16890706	$I_M = 1.86$	1.1×10^{-5}	Chr 6, <i>LRRIC16A</i> gene	[35]	$I_M = 1.18$	2.1×10^{-1}
rs6967953	$S_I = 1.38$	6.0×10^{-6}	Chr 7, <i>CHRM2</i> gene	[36]	$S_I = 0.92$	2.0×10^{-1}
rs5770820	$S_I = 1.25$	5.5×10^{-5}	Chr 22, <i>SHANK3</i> gene	[37]	$S_I = 0.98$	8.2×10^{-1}
rs4719103	$S_I = 1.41$	5.5×10^{-5}	Chr 7, <i>WBSCR17</i> gene	[38]	$S_I = 0.96$	6.3×10^{-1}
rs11075447	$S_I = 0.76$	9.5×10^{-5}	Chr 22, <i>GNBIL</i> gene	[41]	$S_I = 1.00$	9.5×10^{-1}